



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/575,578

04/11/2006

Michiel Adriaanszoon Klompenhouwer

US030436

9557

24737

7590

06/09/2009

PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS

P.O. BOX 3001

BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510

EXAMINER

MARTELLO, EDWARD

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2628

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/09/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/575,578	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KLOMPENHOUWER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Edward Martello	<b>Art Unit</b> 2628	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 March 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Amendment***

1. This Office Action is responsive to the amendment received 18 March 2009.
2. The amendment of the title of the invention is accepted and entered into the record, thus, the objection to the specification is hereby withdrawn.
3. Claims 1, 8, 12, 14, 16, 19 and 22 are amended. Claims 2-7, 9-11, 13, 15, 17-18, 20-21 and 23 are as originally presented.
4. The amendment of claims 8, 14, 16, 19 and 22 cures the basis of the 35 USC § 112 rejection of these claims, thus the 35 USC § 112 rejection of the claims is withdrawn. The 35 USC § 112 rejection of the corresponding dependent claims 9-11, 17 and 20 are also withdrawn.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101***

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

5. Claims 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claims recite a “computer readable medium, comprising computer instructions for:...” which must be interpreted broadly and as such, the phrase does not exclude non statutory matter such as programs that are not directly executable by a computer (i.e., the Matlab code as presented in fig. 14 & 15 of the instant application), paper listings of code, signals and other non statutory matter. While the specification and the Applicant’s remarks point to the one example of a statutory implementation, the claim language is much broader than this disclosure and is not statutory.
6. The Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection that follow.

Art Unit: 2628

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
7. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyachi et al. (U. S. Patent Application Publication 2003/0043165 A1, already of record hereafter '165) and in view of Morgan et al. (U. S. Patent 6,453,067 B1, hereafter '067).
8. Regarding claim 1 (Currently Amended), Miyachi teaches a method for correcting a color image composed of a plurality of individual colors ('165; ¶ 0022), the method comprising the steps of: correcting the gamut of the color image (adjust a color reproduction range; i.e. gamut; '165; ¶ 0004); and smart clipping the corrected image by "adding white" to out-of-gamut digital data of the color image ('165; ¶ 0071; equations at the bottom of paragraph [0071] where selecting each color signal in turn adds in a proportional amount of the remaining two color signals which is equivalent to adding white), but does not explicitly teach wherein said clipping comprises the steps of: adjusting said individual color of said out-of-gamut digital data by

Art Unit: 2628

scaling said individual colors based on a smallest value of said digital data individual colors,  
wherein said adjusted smallest value is set to a known value; and continues teaching scaling said  
adjusted colors to a maximum value based on a maximum value of one said adjusted colors  
(‘165; ¶ 0071 – equations at the end of the paragraph show that each signal is scaled  
proportionally to the intensity of the signal resulting in the lower valued signals (i.e. darker)  
receiving less added white). Morgan, working in the same field of endeavor, however, teaches  
wherein said clipping comprises the steps of: adjusting said individual color of said out-of-gamut  
digital data by scaling said individual colors based on a smallest value of said digital data  
individual colors (‘067; fig. 5; col. 6, ln. 12-20, minimum of the three primary color output data  
words), wherein said adjusted smallest value is set to a known value (‘067; fig. 5; col. 6, ln. 12-  
20, sets the adjusted smallest for all three colors or data words to be equal to the smallest of  
the three) for the benefit of removing the effective white from the dynamic range of each color  
signal thus allowing the following scaling step to have more signal range to boost the perceived  
colorfulness of the image. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the  
time of the invention to have combined Morgan’s minimum white value teachings with the color  
boosting teachings of Miyachi for the benefit of producing an enhanced color image by removing  
the effective white from the dynamic range of each color signal thus allowing the following  
scaling step to have more signal range to boost the perceived colorfulness of the image.

9. In regard to claim 2 (Original), Miyachi further teaches wherein the smart clipping step  
of "adding of white" further comprises the step of scaling with the brightness of digital data  
having dark digital data get less white added than bright digital data (‘165; ¶ 0071 – equations at

Art Unit: 2628

the end of the paragraph show that each signal is scaled proportionally to the intensity of the signal resulting in the lower valued signals (i.e. darker) receiving less added white).

10. Regarding claim 3 (Original), Miyachi and Morgan teach the method of claim 1 and Miyachi further teaches further comprising the step of reducing overall brightness of the color image ('165; ¶ 0083-0085). Each color signal is scaled by a multiplier that varies from 0 to user or designer set maximum thus reducing the overall brightness of the color image.

11. In regard to claim 4 (Original), Miyachi and Morgan teach the method of claim 3 and Miyachi further teaches wherein said reducing step further comprises the step of multiplying digital image data of the color image by a fixed value but does not teach a specific value of 0.85. Miyachi, however, does teach multiplying the input color values by constants that vary up to a value of 2 and suggests a value of 0.5 ('165; ¶ 0073). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have made the design choice of selecting 0.85 as a scale factor after evaluating a number of images on a targeted display just as was done in the instant application at paragraph [0037].

12. Regarding claim 5 (Original), Miyachi and Morgan teach the method of claim 3 and Miyachi further teaches wherein said reducing step further comprises the step of determining the reduction as a function of an input gamut and a display gamut ('165; Abstract; ¶ 0001; ¶ 0027).

13. In regard to claim 6 (Original), Miyachi and Morgan teach the method of claim 3 and Miyachi further teaches wherein said reducing step further comprises the step of determining the reduction as a function of a saturation of the incoming signal ('165; ¶ 0025).

14. Regarding claim 7 (Original), Miyachi and Morgan teach the method of claim 6 and Miyachi further teaches wherein said function is 0 when the saturation is equal to 0, maximal

Art Unit: 2628

when the saturation is greater than some value less than maximum but does not explicitly teach the exact value of 0.750, and teaches that it is equal to a monotonically increasing function as a function of the saturation when the saturation is in the range between 0 and some value less than maximum but does not explicitly teach the exact value of 0.75 ('165, ¶ 0084-0090). Miyachi teaches limiting the maximum to less than one but leaves the design choice to the equipment designer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to choose a value such as 0.75 after evaluating a number of images on a targeted display.

15. In regard to claim 8 (Currently Amended), Miyachi and Morgan teach the method of claim 1 and Miyachi further teaches the method as further comprising the steps of: ~~optionally~~ performing a gamma correction on the digital image data before the step of correcting the gamut; and ~~if needed~~, performing an inverse gamma correction on the smart clipped image ('165; ¶ 0262-0263).

16. Regarding claim 9 (Original), Miyachi further teaches the method as further comprising the step of reducing the overall brightness of the color image ('165; 0269-0271 - equations these paragraphs show that each signal is scaled proportionally to the intensity of the signal resulting in the lower valued signals (i.e. darker) receiving less added white).

17. In regard to claim 10 (Original), Miyachi further teaches wherein said reducing step further comprises the step of determining the reduction as a function of a saturation of the incoming signal ('165; ¶ 0261-0263).

18. Regarding claim 11 (Original), Miyachi further teaches wherein said function is 0 when the saturation is equal to 0, maximal when the saturation greater than some value less than one

Art Unit: 2628

but does not explicitly teach the exact value of 0.75, and equal to a monotonically increasing function as a function of the saturation when the saturation is in the range between 0 and some value less than maximum but does not explicitly teach the exact value of 0.75. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to choose a value such as 0.75 after evaluating a number of images on a targeted display.

19. In regard to claim 12 (Currently Amended), Miyachi teaches an apparatus (device) for primary color correction and clipping, comprising: a means for receiving digital data of a color image having a source gamut; a display having a display gamut ('165; fig. 2); one of a program memory storing and a calculation logic device providing (signal processing means) - (i) a plurality of algorithms, that includes smart clipping algorithms (adjust a color reproduction range; i.e. gamut; '165; ¶ 0004), for mapping the source gamut to the display gamut, and (ii) a multi-step 'smart' clipper module that executes the plurality of algorithms for mapping the source gamut to the display gamut ('165; ¶ 0071; ¶ 0083-0085; ¶ 0261-0263); and a controller/processing unit configured to control receipt of the digital data, execute the 'smart' clipper module to accomplish mapping the source gamut to the display gamut for the received digital data ('165; fig. 8), but does not teach wherein said clipping comprises the steps of: adjusting said individual colors of said out-of-gamut digital data by scaling said individual colors based on a smallest value of said digital data individual colors, wherein said adjusted smallest value is set to a known value; and continues to teach scaling said adjusted colors to a maximum value based on a maximum value of one of said adjust colors ('165; ¶ 0071 – equations at the end of the paragraph show that each signal is scaled proportionally to the intensity of the signal resulting in the lower valued signals (i.e. darker) receiving less added white), and output the



Art Unit: 2628

mapped digital data to the display ('165; fig. 2). Morgan, working in the same field of endeavor, however, teaches wherein said clipping comprises the steps of: adjusting said individual color of said out-of-gamut digital data by scaling said individual colors based on a smallest value of said digital data individual colors ('067; fig. 5; col. 6, ln. 12-20, minimum of the three primary color output data words), wherein said adjusted smallest value is set to a known value ('067; fig. 5; col. 6, ln. 12-20, sets the adjusted smallest for all three colors or data words to be equal to the smallest of the three) for the benefit of removing the effective white from the dynamic range of each color signal thus allowing the following scaling step to have more signal range to boost the perceived colorfulness of the image. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined Morgan's minimum white value teachings with the color boosting teachings of Miyachi for the benefit of producing an enhanced color image by removing the effective white from the dynamic range of each color signal thus allowing the following scaling step to have more signal range to boost the perceived colorfulness of the image.

20. Regarding claim 13 (Original), Miyachi further teaches further comprising a storage device for storing received digital data and output digital data of a color image ('165; ¶0069-0071).

21. In regard to claim 14 (Currently Amended), Miyachi further teaches wherein the plurality of algorithms comprises at least one of: ~~optionally~~ executable gamma correction algorithms ('165; ¶ 0262-0263); gamut mapping algorithms to reduce brightness of digital data of a color image ('165; 0269-0271); smart clipping algorithms to correct digital data of a color image by "adding white" to out-of-gamut digital data of the color image ('165; ¶ 0071); and saturation

Art Unit: 2628

dependent attenuation algorithms ('165; ¶ 0261-0263). Miyachi's adding white is the set of equations at the bottom of paragraph [0071] where selecting each color signal in turn adds in a proportional amount of the remaining two color signals which is equivalent to adding white. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Miyachi's "adding white" to remove this constant term from the input to allow proportional scaling of the colors in further processing.

22. Regarding claim 15 (Original), Miyachi and Morgan teach the apparatus of claim 13 and Miyachi further teaches wherein the smart clipping algorithms further comprise magnifying the clipping effect based on intensity of digital data of the color image ('165; ¶ 0071 – equations at the end of the paragraph show that each signal is scaled proportionally to the intensity of the signal resulting in the lower valued signals (i.e. darker) receiving less added white).

23. In regard to claim 16 (Currently Amended), Miyachi and Morgan teach the apparatus of claim 12 and Miyachi further teaches wherein the plurality of algorithms comprises at least one of: optionally executable gamma correction algorithms ('165; ¶ 0262-0263); gamut mapping algorithms to reduce brightness of digital data of a color image ('165; ¶ 0083-0085); smart clipping algorithms to correct digital data of a color image by "adding white" to out-of-gamut digital data of the color image ('165; ¶ 0071); and saturation dependent attenuation algorithms ('165; ¶ 0025).

24. Regarding claim 17 (Original), Miyachi further teaches wherein the smart clipping algorithms further comprise magnifying the clipping effect based on intensity of digital data of the color image ('165; ¶ 0071-0072).

Art Unit: 2628

25. In regard to claim 18 (Original), Miyachi teaches a 'smart' clipper apparatus for primary color correction and clipping, comprising: a plurality of algorithms ('165; ¶ 0071; ¶ 0083-0085; ¶ 0261-0263), that includes smart clipping algorithms, for mapping a source gamut to a display gamut, and a multi-step 'smart' clipper module that executes said plurality of algorithms ('165; ¶ 0071; ¶ 0083-0085; ¶ 0261-0263).

26. Regarding claim 19 (Currently Amended), Miyachi further teaches wherein the plurality of algorithms comprises at least one of: ~~optionally~~ executable gamma correction algorithms ('165; ¶ 0262-0263); gamut mapping algorithms to reduce brightness of digital data of a color image ('165; 0269-0271); smart clipping algorithms to correct digital data of a color image by "adding white" to out-of-gamut digital data of the color image ('165; ¶ 0071); and saturation dependent attenuation algorithms ('165; ¶0025).

27. In regard to claim 20 (Original), Miyachi further teaches wherein the smart clipping algorithms further comprise magnifying the clipping effect based on intensity of digital data of the color image ('165; ¶ 0071 – equations at the end of the paragraph show that each signal is scaled proportionally to the intensity of the signal resulting in the lower valued signals (i.e. darker) receiving less added white).

28. Regarding claim 21 (Original), Miyachi teaches a computer readable medium, comprising computer instructions for: a plurality of algorithms ('165; ¶ 0071; ¶ 0083-0085; ¶ 0261-0263), that includes smart clipping algorithms, for mapping a source gamut to a display gamut, and a multi-step 'smart' clipper module that executes said plurality of algorithms ('165; ¶ 0071; ¶ 0083-0085; ¶ 0261-0263).

Art Unit: 2628

29. In regard to claim 22 (Currently Amended), Miyachi further teaches, wherein said plurality of algorithms further comprises computer instructions for: optionally executable gamma correction algorithms ('165; ¶ 0262-0263); gamut mapping algorithms to reduce brightness of digital data of a color image ('165; 0269-0271); smart clipping algorithms to correct digital data of a color image by "adding white" to out-of-gamut digital data of the color image ('165; ¶ 0071), but does not teach wherein said clipping comprises the steps of: adjusting said individual colors of said out-of-gamut digital data by scaling said individual colors based on a smallest value of said digital data individual colors, wherein said adjusted smallest value is set to a known value; and scaling said adjusted colors to a maximum value based on a maximum value of one of said adjust colors; and continues to teach the use of saturation dependent attenuation algorithms ('165; ¶ 0025). Morgan, working in the same field of endeavor, however, teaches wherein said clipping comprises the steps of: adjusting said individual color of said out-of-gamut digital data by scaling said individual colors based on a smallest value of said digital data individual colors ('067; fig. 5; col. 6, ln. 12-20, minimum of the three primary color output data words), wherein said adjusted smallest value is set to a known value ('067; fig. 5; col. 6, ln. 12-20, sets the adjusted smallest for all three colors or data words to be equal to the smallest of the three) for the benefit of removing the effective white from the dynamic range of each color signal thus allowing the following scaling step to have more signal range to boost the perceived colorfulness of the image. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined Morgan's minimum white value teachings with the color boosting teachings of Miyachi for the benefit of producing an enhanced color image by removing the

Art Unit: 2628

effective white from the dynamic range of each color signal thus allowing the following scaling step to have more signal range to boost the perceived colorfulness of the image.

30. Regarding claim 23 (Original), Miyachi further teaches wherein the smart clipping algorithms further comprise magnifying the clipping effect based on intensity of digital data of the color image ('165; ¶ 0071 – equations at the end of the paragraph show that each signal is scaled proportionally to the intensity of the signal resulting in the lower valued signals (i.e. darker) receiving less added white).

### ***Response to Arguments***

31. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Conclusion***

The following prior art, made of record, was not relied upon but is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

- |                   |   |
|-------------------|---|
| US 20050213125 A1 | Method of color accentuation with compensation and adjustment – Teaches many color scaling algorithms based on minimum, maximum and mid-range set points. Also teaches whiteness compensation |
| US 20040156544 A1 | Image processing apparatus and method – Teaches scaling algorithms to enhance colorfulness of images that attempt to preserve skin colors.  |
| US 20030128872 A1 | Method and apparatus for generating white component and controlling the brightness in display devices   |

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2628

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Martello whose telephone number is (571) 270-1883. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Xiao Wu can be reached on (571) 272-7761. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,578

Page 14

Art Unit: 2628

/EM/

Examiner, Art Unit 2628

/XIAO M. WU/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2628